

PROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS CONTAINING A LARGE LINEAR SUBSPACE WITH NEF NORMAL BUNDLE

CARLA NOVELLI AND GIANLUCA OCCHETTA

ABSTRACT. We classify smooth complex projective varieties $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of dimension $2s + 1$ containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s whose normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is numerically effective.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n , containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s ; denote by $N_{\Lambda/X}$ its normal bundle and by c the degree of the first Chern class of $N_{\Lambda/X}$.

If $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is numerically effective, then X is covered by lines; if furthermore s is sufficiently large, the restrictions imposed on X become stronger.

By [9, Theorem 2.5], if $s + c > \frac{n}{2}$ then, for large m , denoting by H the restriction to X of the hyperplane bundle, the linear system $|m(K_X + (s + 1 + c)H)|$ defines an extremal ray contraction of X which contracts Λ . If s is greater than $\frac{n}{2}$ this contraction is a projective bundle, as shown in [29] (see also [7, Theorem 2.5]). The same result holds if $s = \frac{n}{2}$ and $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is trivial, by [13, Theorem 1.7] and [33, Theorem 2.4].

The complete study of the case $s = \frac{n}{2}$ is the subject of [29]; the setup of the quoted paper is different - it is not assumed the existence of a linear space of dimension $\frac{n}{2}$ with nef normal bundle, but the existence of a linear space of dimension $\frac{n}{2}$ through every point of X - yet the assumptions are in fact equivalent. The most difficult cases in [29] are manifolds of Picard number one, which turn out to be, besides linear spaces, hyperquadrics and Grassmannians of lines.

In this paper we study the next case, i.e. $n = 2s + 1$, proving the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s + 1$, containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s , such that its normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is numerically effective. If the Picard number of X is one, then X is one of the following:*

- (1) a linear space \mathbb{P}^{2s+1} ;
- (2) a smooth hyperquadric \mathbb{Q}^{2s+1} ;
- (3) a cubic threefold in \mathbb{P}^4 ;
- (4) a complete intersection of two hyperquadrics of dimension 4 in \mathbb{P}^5 ;
- (5) the intersection of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ with three general hyperplanes;
- (6) a hyperplane section of the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s + 2)$ in its Plücker embedding.

If the Picard number of X is greater than one, then there is an elementary contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ which contracts Λ and one of the following occurs:

- (7) $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a scroll;
- (8) Y is a curve, and the general fiber of φ is
 - (8a) the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$;
 - (8b) a smooth hyperquadric \mathbb{Q}^{2s} ;
 - (8c) a product of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$.

The outline of the paper is the following: first of all, we use the theory of uniform vector bundles on the projective space, together with some standard exact sequence, to classify all possible normal bundles $N_{\Lambda/X}$. Then we consider separately the case of Picard number one and the case of greater Picard number; in fact the ideas and the proofs are very different.

If the Picard number is one, the main idea is to study the manifold \tilde{X} obtained by blowing-up X along Λ ; we prove that \tilde{X} is a Fano manifold, and then we study its “other” extremal contraction. As a first application of this construction, in section (5) we show how to use it to complete [29, Main Theorem].

In the setup of Theorem (1.1), the hardest case corresponds to the normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq T_{\Lambda}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, which give rise to case (6). In this case we need to use twice the blow-up construction: first we blow-up X along Λ and we show that there is a special one-parameter family Σ of linear spaces to which Λ belongs; then we blow-up X along Σ and, studying this blow-up, we are able to describe completely the variety.

If the Picard number is greater than one, we combine the ideas and techniques of [9] with those of [10] to show that a dominating family of lines on X of anticanonical degree $\geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ is extremal, i.e., the numerical class of a line spans a Mori extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$. The contraction of this ray is the morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ appearing in the second part of the statement of Theorem (1.1). The general fiber F of φ is then a manifold covered by linear spaces of dimension $\geq \frac{\dim F}{2}$, and this leads to its classification.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

A smooth complex projective variety X is called *Fano* if its anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ is ample; the *index* r_X of X is the largest natural number such that $-K_X = r_X H$ for some (ample) divisor H on X . Since X is smooth, $\text{Pic}(X)$ is torsion free, therefore the divisor L satisfying $-K_X = r_X L$ is uniquely determined and called the *fundamental divisor* of X . Fano manifolds with $r_X = \dim X - 1$ are called *del Pezzo* manifolds.

2.1. Extremal contractions. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n defined over the field of complex numbers; a *contraction* $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ is a proper surjective map with connected fibers onto a normal variety Z .

If the canonical bundle K_X is not nef, then the negative part of the cone $\text{NE}(X)$ of effective 1-cycles is locally polyhedral, by the Cone Theorem. By the Contraction Theorem, to every face in this part of the cone is associated a contraction, called *extremal contraction* or *Fano–Mori contraction*.

An extremal contraction associated to a face of dimension one, i.e. to an extremal

ray, is called an *elementary contraction*; a Cartier divisor H such that $H = \varphi^*A$ for an ample divisor A on Z is called a *supporting divisor* of the contraction φ .

Definition 2.1.1. An elementary fiber type extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ is called a *scroll* (respectively a *quadric fibration*) if there exists a φ -ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $K_X + (\dim X - \dim Z + 1)L$ (respectively $K_X + (\dim X - \dim Z)L$) is a supporting divisor of φ .

An elementary fiber type extremal contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ onto a smooth variety Z is called a \mathbb{P} -*bundle* (respectively *quadric bundle*) if there exists a vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank $\dim X - \dim Z + 1$ (respectively of rank $\dim X - \dim Z + 2$) on Z such that $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E})$ (respectively there exists an embedding of X over Z as a divisor of $\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E})$ of relative degree 2).

Some special scroll contractions arise from projectivization of Bănică sheaves (cf. [6]); in particular, if $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ is a scroll such that every fiber has dimension $\leq \dim X - \dim Z + 1$, then Z is smooth and X is the projectivization of a Bănică sheaf on Z (cf. [6, Proposition 2.5]); we will call these contractions *special Bănică scrolls*.

2.2. Families of rational curves.

Definition 2.2.1. A *family of rational curves* is an irreducible component $V \subset \text{Ratcurves}^n(X)$ (see [22, Definition 2.11]). We will say that V is *unsplit* if it is proper.

We define $\text{Locus}(V)$ to be the set of points of X through which there is a curve among those parametrized by V and we say that V is a *dominating family* if $\text{Locus}(V) = X$. We denote by V_x the subscheme of V parametrizing rational curves among those parametrized by V passing through x . By abuse of notation, given a line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, we will denote by $L \cdot V$ the intersection number $L \cdot C_V$, with C_V any curve among those parametrized by V .

Definition 2.2.2. An unsplit dominating family V defines a relation of rational connectedness with respect to V , which we shall call *rc(V)-relation* for short, in the following way: x and y are in $\text{rc}(V)$ -relation if there exists a chain of rational curves among those parametrized by V which joins x and y .

To the $\text{rc}(V)$ -relation we can associate a fibration, at least on an open subset ([11], [22, IV.4.16]); we will call it *rc(V)-fibration*.

Proposition 2.2.3. [22, IV.2.6] *Let X be a smooth projective variety, let V be an unsplit family of rational curves on X and let x be a point in $\text{Locus}(V)$. Then*

- (a) $\dim X - K_X \cdot V \leq \dim \text{Locus}(V) + \dim \text{Locus}(V_x) + 1$;
- (b) $-K_X \cdot V \leq \dim \text{Locus}(V_x) + 1$.

This last proposition, in case V is the unsplit family of deformations of a minimal extremal rational curve, gives the *fiber locus inequality*:

Proposition 2.2.4. [19, Theorem 0.4], [32, Theorem 1.1] *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety and let φ be a Fano–Mori contraction of X . Let $E := \text{Exc}(\varphi)$ be the exceptional locus of φ and let F be an irreducible component of a (non-trivial) fiber of φ . Then*

$$\dim E + \dim F \geq \dim X + l - 1,$$

where $l := \min\{-K_X \cdot C \mid C \text{ is a rational curve in } F\}$. If φ is the contraction of an extremal ray R , then $l(R) := l$ is called the *length* of the ray.

Definition 2.2.5. Let X be a smooth variety, V an unsplit families of rational curves on X and $Z \subset X$. We denote by $\text{Locus}(V)_Z$ the set of points $x \in X$ such that there exists a curve C in V with $C \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in C$.

We will use some properties of $\text{Locus}(V)_Z$, summarized in the following

Lemma 2.2.6. [12, Section 2], [9, Proof of Lemma 1.4.5] *Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subset and V an unsplit family. Assume that curves contained in Z are numerically independent from curves in V , and that $Z \cap \text{Locus}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$\dim \text{Locus}(V)_Y \geq \dim Y - K_X \cdot V - 1.$$

If σ is an extremal face of $\text{NE}(X)$, F is a fiber of the contraction associated to σ and V is an unsplit family, numerically independent from curves whose numerical class is in σ , then

$$\text{NE}^X(\text{Locus}(V)_F) = \langle \sigma, [V] \rangle,$$

i.e., the numerical class in X of a curve in $\text{Locus}(V)_F$ is in the subcone of $\text{NE}(X)$ generated by σ and $[V]$.

2.3. Some extremal contractions related to Grassmannians. We will now present some examples of Fano manifolds admitting a projective bundle structure and another extremal contraction φ whose target is a Grassmannian of lines. We will use these descriptions later in our proofs.

Example 2.3.1. Let $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$ be the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^s and denote by \mathcal{I} the incidence variety. Consider the incidence diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{I} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow \varphi \\ \mathbb{P}^s & & \mathbb{G}(1, s). \end{array}$$

Then p and φ are projective bundles, namely $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(p_*\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1)) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(2))$ and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(\varphi_*p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(1)) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(\mathcal{Q})$, where \mathcal{Q} is the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$.

Example 2.3.2. As in the previous example, let $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$ be the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^s and let \mathcal{I} denote the incidence variety; consider the following diagram, obtained by the incidence diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & & & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q & \searrow \varphi & \\ \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{G}(1, s) \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{G}(1, s). \end{array}$$

The composition $\varphi = g \circ q$ gives a morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}(1, s)$ whose fibers are smooth two-dimensional quadrics. Let \mathcal{H} be $p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 1)$ and put $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_*\mathcal{H}$. We have

$$\mathcal{E} = \varphi_*\mathcal{H} = g_*(q_*\mathcal{H}) = g_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s) \times \mathbb{P}^1}(1, 0) \otimes g^*\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}^{\oplus 2}.$$

The product $\mathcal{I} \times \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^1}(p_1^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(2))$, where p_1 denotes the projection onto \mathbb{P}^s , embeds in $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(\mathcal{E})$ as a divisor of relative degree 2, i.e. it belongs to a linear system $|2\mathcal{H} - \varphi^*L|$ for some line bundle L in $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{G}(1, s))$. The discriminant divisor of the quadric bundle is in the linear system $|2\det \mathcal{E} - 4L|$ and it is trivial, since every fiber of φ is smooth. It follows that $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1)$.

Example 2.3.3. Let $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ be the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^{s+1} and let $\mathbb{G}(1, H) \subset \mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ be a sub-Grassmannian corresponding to the lines of \mathbb{P}^{s+1} contained in a fixed hyperplane H .

Consider the rational map $\psi: \mathbb{G}(1, s+1) \dashrightarrow H$, which associates to a line l the point of intersection of l with H . This map is not defined precisely along the points of $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ representing the lines contained in H , i.e., along the sub-Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, H)$.

Consider the resolution of φ , obtained by blowing-up $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ along $\mathbb{G}(1, H)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbb{G}}(1, s+1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{G}(1, s+1) \\ p \downarrow & \swarrow \psi & \\ & H & \end{array}$$

The contraction p is a \mathbb{P}^{s+1} -bundle over H , whose fibers are the strict transforms of linear subspaces $\mathbb{P}^{s+1} \subset \mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ corresponding to stars of lines with center in H , namely $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}(1, s+1) = \mathbb{P}_H(p_*\varphi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)}(1)) = \mathbb{P}_H(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(1))$.

3. A GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

In this section we will present a blow-up construction and we will show how to apply it to manifolds of Picard number one containing a linear space with nef normal bundle.

The construction in the following proposition has been inspired by the graduate thesis [27] supervised the second named author.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a Fano manifold of dimension n , index r_X and Picard number one, covered by lines and containing a smooth subvariety Σ of dimension s which is the intersection of its linear span with X , i.e., $\Sigma = X \cap \langle \Sigma \rangle$, with $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq s \leq n-2$. Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Σ and let $E = \mathbb{P}_\Sigma(N_{\Sigma/X}^*)$ be the exceptional divisor of σ .*

Then $\text{NE}(\tilde{X}) = \langle [C_\sigma], [\ell] \rangle$, where C_σ is a minimal curve contracted by σ and ℓ is the strict transform of a line meeting Σ at one point.

If $r_X \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then \tilde{X} is a Fano manifold. Moreover, the extremal contraction $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ different from σ is defined by the linear system $|\sigma^\mathcal{O}_X(1) - E|$ and the extremal ray associated with φ has length $r_X - n + s + 1$.*

If $r_X \geq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then also E is a Fano manifold. Moreover, the restriction to E of φ is the morphism given by the linear system $|\xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^}(1)|$.*

Proof. Since the Picard number of X is one and X is covered by lines, it follows by [4, Proposition 1.1] that X is rationally connected with respect to a dominating family of lines.

Consider the rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y$ defined by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Sigma|$ of hyperplanes containing Σ . Let $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ be the resolution of this map. Then the morphism φ is defined by the linear system $|\mathcal{H} - E|$, where \mathcal{H} denotes by the pull-back $\sigma^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

Let $l \subset X$ be a line meeting Σ but not contained in it; notice that such a line exists because X is rationally connected with respect to a family of lines.

Since $\Sigma = X \cap \langle \Sigma \rangle$, the intersection $l \cap \Sigma$ consists of one point, hence the morphism

φ contracts ℓ , the strict transform of l . Therefore, denoting by C_σ a rational curve of minimal degree contracted by σ , we obtain $\text{NE}(\tilde{X}) = \langle [C_\sigma], [\ell] \rangle$.

By the canonical bundle formula for blow-ups we have

$$(3.1.1) \quad -K_{\tilde{X}} = -\sigma^*K_X - (n-s-1)E = r_X\mathcal{H} - (n-s-1)E.$$

Clearly, $-K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot C_\sigma > 0$.

If $r_X \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, we get $-K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \ell = r_X - n + s + 1 > 0$. By the Kleiman criterion it follows that $-K_{\tilde{X}}$ is ample, so \tilde{X} is a Fano manifold. We also get that the length of the ray contracted by φ is $r_X - n + s + 1$.

Assume now that $r_X \geq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$. From (3.1.1) it follows that the line bundle

$$-K_{\tilde{X}} - E = r_X\mathcal{H} - (n-s)E$$

is ample on \tilde{X} , since $(-K_{\tilde{X}} - E) \cdot C_\sigma = n-s$ and $(-K_{\tilde{X}} - E) \cdot \ell = r_X + s - n > 0$. Therefore its restriction to E , which by adjunction is $-K_E$, is ample, too; hence E is a Fano manifold.

Denote by D the divisor $-K_{\tilde{X}} - E + (\mathcal{H} - E)$. Then D is ample on \tilde{X} , being the sum of an ample line bundle and a nef one, so $h^1(\mathcal{H} - 2E) = h^1(K_{\tilde{X}} + D) = 0$, by the Kodaira Vanishing theorem. It follows that the morphism

$$H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H} - E) \longrightarrow H^0(E, (\mathcal{H} - E)|_E) = H^0(E, \xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^*(1)})$$

is surjective and the last claim is proved. \square

The following lemma shows that we can apply our construction to manifolds of Picard number one containing a large linear space whose normal bundle is numerically effective.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension n and Picard number one, containing a linear space Λ of dimension s with $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq s \leq n-2$. Assume that the normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ of Λ in X is nef and denote by c the non negative integer such that $\det N_{\Lambda/X} = \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(c)$.*

Then X is a Fano manifold of index $r_X = s + 1 + c$ covered by lines.

Proof. By the adjunction formula we have

$$K_\Lambda = (K_X + \det N_{\Lambda/X})|_\Lambda,$$

whence

$$(-K_X)|_\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(s + 1 + c),$$

from which we can derive

$$(3.2.1) \quad -K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(s + 1 + c).$$

Let l be a general line in Λ . From the nefness of $N_{\Lambda/X}$ and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{l/\Lambda} = \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(1)^{\oplus(s-1)} \longrightarrow N_{l/X} \longrightarrow (N_{\Lambda/X})|_l \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have that $N_{l/X}$ is nef; therefore l is a free rational curve in X (see [22, Definition II.3.1]), which is thus covered by lines by [22, Proposition II.3.10]. \square

4. NORMAL BUNDLES

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s+1$, containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s whose normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is numerically effective.

In this section we will start the proof of Theorem (1.1), giving the list of all possible normal bundles of the linear subspace Λ and showing that X is covered by linear subspaces of dimension s .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s+1$, containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s whose normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is nef. Then $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is one of the following:*

- (1) $\Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$;
- (2) $\Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)$;
- (3) $T_{\Lambda}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)$;
- (4) $T_{\Lambda}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$;
- (5) $\mathcal{O}(1)_{\Lambda}^{\oplus c} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}^{\oplus(s+1-c)}$.

Moreover, through every point of X there is a linear subspace of dimension s .

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/X} \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathbb{P}^N} = \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)^{\oplus(N-s)} \longrightarrow (N_{X/\mathbb{P}^N})|_X \longrightarrow 0$$

and the nefness of $N_{\Lambda/X}$ we get that the splitting of $N_{\Lambda/X}$ on lines in Λ is of type $(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$, hence uniform.

By the classification of uniform vector bundles of rank $s+1$ on \mathbb{P}^s given in [15] and [5], taking into account the splitting type, we have that $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is one of the bundles listed in the statement. Notice that all these bundles are generated by global sections and have $h^1(N_{\Lambda/X}) = 0$.

It follows that the Hilbert scheme of s -planes in X is smooth at the point λ corresponding to Λ . Let T be the unique irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme containing λ and let Z be the universal family; we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ q \swarrow & & \searrow p \\ T & & X. \end{array}$$

Let z be a point in $\Lambda' := q^{-1}(\lambda)$; we consider the differential of p at that point

$$d_z p: T_z Z \longrightarrow T_{p(z)} X;$$

this map is the identity when restricted to $T_z \Lambda'$. Recalling that $T_{\lambda} Z \simeq H^0(N_{\Lambda/X})$ and considering the exact sequence of the normal bundle of Λ in X we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_z \Lambda' & \longrightarrow & T_z Z & \longrightarrow & H^0(N_{\Lambda/X}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow Id & & \downarrow d_z p & & \downarrow ev \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_{p(z)} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & T_{p(z)} X & \longrightarrow & (N_{\Lambda/X})_{p(z)} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which shows that $d_z p$ is surjective - ev is surjective by the spannedness of $N_{\Lambda/X}$ - hence p is smooth at z . \square

Question 4.2. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s + 1$ such that through every point of X there is a linear subspace of dimension s . It is possible to prove, as in [29], that the general linear subspace has a normal bundle which is spanned at the general point. Is it true that there exists a linear subspace Λ with nef normal bundle?

We end this section recalling a general construction (see [1, Proof of 0.7]):

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Lambda \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a linear space contained in a smooth projective manifold such that $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq N' \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ for some vector bundle N' over Λ . Then there exists a smooth hyperplane section X' of X which contains Λ and such that $N_{\Lambda/X'} \simeq N'$.*

Proof. The existence of the smooth hyperplane section follows from [8, Corollary 1.7.5]; then by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/X'} \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq N' \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the statement on the normal bundle. \square

5. PROJECTIVE n -FOLDS COVERED BY LINEAR SUBSPACES OF DIMENSION $\geq \frac{n}{2}$

In this section we will prove that, if a smooth complex projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of Picard number one and dimension $2s$ contains a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$, then X is the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^{s+1} .

This result, as explained in Corollary (5.2), completes [29, Main Theorem], in which smooth projective varieties of dimension n covered by linear subspaces of dimension $\geq \frac{n}{2}$ were classified.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of Picard number one and dimension $2s$ containing a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. Then X is the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s + 1)$.*

Proof. First of all notice that, by Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index $r_X = s + 1 + c = s + 2$ covered by lines.

Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Λ , denote by $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Lambda/X}^*)$ the exceptional divisor and by \mathcal{H} the pull-back $\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. By Proposition (3.1), \tilde{X} is a Fano manifold with a contraction $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ whose restriction to E is the map associated on E to the linear system $|\xi_{N_{\Lambda/X}^*(1)}| = |\xi_{\Omega(2)}|$. This map is the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$ given by the projectivization of the universal quotient bundle \mathcal{Q} over $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$, as shown in Example (2.3.1).

Moreover, by Proposition (3.1), $\text{NE}(\tilde{X}) = \langle [C_\sigma], [\ell] \rangle$, where C_σ is a rational curve of minimal degree contracted by σ and ℓ is the strict transform of a line meeting Λ at one point and the length of the extremal ray generated by $[\ell]$ is $r_X - n + s + 1 = 3$. By Proposition (2.2.4) every non-trivial fiber of the contraction φ has dimension at least two. Since $E \cdot \ell = 1$ we have that E meets every non-trivial fiber of φ . As $\varphi|_E$ is equidimensional with one-dimensional fibers, it follows that φ cannot have fibers of dimension greater than two, otherwise their intersection with E would be a fiber of dimension at least two of $\varphi|_E$.

Therefore every non-trivial fiber of φ has dimension two and so, by Proposition (2.2.4), φ is of fiber type.

Let F be a general fiber of φ ; by adjunction we have

$$-K_F = (-K_{\tilde{X}})|_F = ((s + 2)\mathcal{H} - (s - 1)E)|_F = 3\mathcal{H}|_F,$$

hence $(F, \mathcal{H}|_F) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$.

The line bundle $2\mathcal{H} - E$ is ample and $(2\mathcal{H} - E)|_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$; thus we can apply [18, Lemma 2.12] to obtain that φ is a projective bundle over $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$.

Let $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_*\mathcal{H}$; the inclusion $E = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(\mathcal{E})$ gives an exact sequence of vector bundles over $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We can compute, using the canonical bundle formula for projective bundles, that $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(2)$, so, recalling that $\det \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(1)$, we have $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(1)$.

Since $h^1(\mathcal{Q}^*(1)) = h^1(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$, the sequence splits and $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(\mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s)}(1))$. We have thus proved that the existence in X of a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$ completely determines X . As the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ contains such a linear space - take a linear space corresponding to the lines passing through a fixed point - the proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 5.2. (cf. [29, Main theorem]) *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth complex variety of dimension $n \geq 2$ covered by linear subspaces of dimensions $s \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Then X is one of the following:*

- (1) *a \mathbb{P}^r -bundle over a smooth manifold. ($r \geq s$);*
- (2) *an even dimensional hyperquadric;*
- (3) *the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$.*

Proof. In [29] the author first showed that the normal bundle of a general linear subspace is one of the following:

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}^{\oplus a} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(1)^{\oplus (s-a)}$;
- (ii) $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(2)$;
- (iii) $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$.

Then he showed that in case (i) X is a \mathbb{P}^r -bundle over a smooth manifold ($r \geq s$) and in case (ii) X is an even dimensional hyperquadric.

As for case (iii) he showed that X is the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^{s+1} , if s is even or if one assumes that all the linear subspaces of the covering family have normal bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$.

Thus to prove the statement it is enough to show that, in case (iii), X is the Grassmannian of lines in \mathbb{P}^{s+1} ; this will follow from Proposition (5.1) once we prove that, if X is as in case (iii), then its Picard number is one.

Assume that this is not the case. Since X is covered by linear subspaces, there exists a dominating family of lines in X . By adjunction we have $-K_X \cdot l \geq s+2$, hence, by [7, Theorem 2.4], the numerical class of $[l]$ spans an extremal ray of X .

Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction of this extremal ray and let F be a general fiber of φ ; F has dimension at most $2s-1$ and, by adjunction, is a Fano manifold of index $\geq s+2$, hence $\rho_F = 1$ by [31, Theorem B].

Since F is covered by linear spaces of dimension s , applying [34, Corollary I.2.20] as in [16, Theorem 2] we derive that F is a projective space, hence the normal bundle of a general s -plane cannot be $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s$ containing a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. Then X is the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$.*

Proof. As in Proposition (4.1) we can prove that through every point of X there is a linear subspace of dimension s . By Corollary (5.2) X is a \mathbb{P}^r -bundle over a smooth manifold, an even dimensional hyperquadric or the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$. The first two cases are ruled out since the corresponding manifolds do not contain a linear subspace with normal bundle $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. \square

6. MANIFOLDS WITH PICARD NUMBER ONE

In this section we will consider projective manifolds of dimension $2s+1$ and Picard number one containing a linear subspace of dimension s with numerically effective normal bundle, proving the following

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s+1$ and Picard number one, containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s , such that its normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is nef. Then X is one of the following:*

- a linear space \mathbb{P}^{2s+1} ;
- a smooth hyperquadric \mathbb{Q}^{2s+1} ;
- a cubic threefold in \mathbb{P}^4 ;
- a complete intersection of two hyperquadrics of dimension 4 in \mathbb{P}^5 ;
- the intersection of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ with three general hyperplanes;
- a hyperplane section of the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+2)$ in its Plücker embedding.

Proof. First of all notice that, by Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index $r_X = s+1+c$. Moreover, all possible nef normal bundles $N_{\Lambda/X}$ are listed in Proposition (4.1).

When $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq \Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, X is a del Pezzo manifold with very ample fundamental divisor; hence, by the classification in [18, Theorem 8.11], of degree greater than or equal to three.

Recalling that the Picard number of X is one and that X contains lines, by the same classification we have that the degree of X is at most five.

A del Pezzo manifold of degree three and Picard number one is a cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} ; on the other hand, by the exact sequence of normal bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)^{\oplus(s+2)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(3) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we see that we cannot have $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq \Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}$, unless $s=1$.

Again by [18, Theorem 8.11], a del Pezzo manifold of degree four is the complete intersection of two quadric hypersurfaces.

Let us show that also this case is possible only if $s=1$. We owe this remark and its proof to Andrea Luigi Tironi.

Let \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}' be the hyperquadrics such that $X = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q}'$, and let \mathcal{F} be the pencil generated by \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}' ; by [28, Proposition 2.1] the general quadric in \mathcal{F} is smooth, so we can assume that \mathcal{Q} is smooth.

By [8, Corollary 1.7.5] there is a smooth hyperplane section \mathcal{Q}_H of \mathcal{Q} containing Λ ; by the exact sequence of normal bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathcal{Q}_H} \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathcal{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

recalling that $N_{\Lambda/\mathcal{Q}_H} \simeq \Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)$ we have $N_{\Lambda/\mathcal{Q}} \simeq \Omega_{\Lambda}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)^{\oplus 2}$.

Therefore the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/X} \longrightarrow N_{\Lambda/\mathcal{Q}} \longrightarrow (N_{X/\mathcal{Q}})|_{\Lambda} \longrightarrow 0$$

becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_\Lambda(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \longrightarrow \Omega_\Lambda(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

A computation of the total Chern class shows that this is possible only if $s = 1$. Again by [18, Theorem 8.11], a del Pezzo manifold of degree five is a linear section of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4)$.

If $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq \Omega_\Lambda(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(1)$, then X is a smooth hyperquadric by the Kobayashi–Ochiai Theorem [21].

In case $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq T_\Lambda(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda(1)$, by Lemma (4.3) there exists a smooth hyperplane section X' of X containing Λ ; moreover the normal bundle of Λ in X' is $T_\Lambda(-1)$, hence, by Corollary (5.3), X' is the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$. But, by [17, Corollary 1.3 and Proposition 2.1], $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ cannot be a hyperplane section of another manifold, unless $s = 2$; in this case X' is a four-dimensional hyperquadric, hence X is a five-dimensional hyperquadric. Note that, since $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \simeq \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$, this was already part of the previous case.

As to the remaining possibilities, the case $N_{\Lambda/X} \simeq T_\Lambda(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ is settled in subsection (6.1), while the case of a decomposable normal bundle is settled in subsection (6.2). \square

6.1. Normal bundle isomorphic to $T_\Lambda(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$. We will start by proving that Λ belongs to a special one-dimensional family of linear subspaces of X :

Proposition 6.1.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of Picard number one and dimension $2s + 1$ containing a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}$.*

Then there is a subvariety $\Sigma \subset X$ such that $(\Sigma, (\mathcal{O}_X(1))|_\Sigma) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s}(1, 1))$ which contains Λ as a fiber of the first projection. Moreover $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma \rangle \cap X$.

Proof. By Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index $s + 2$ covered by lines.

Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Λ , and denote by $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Lambda/X}^*)$ the exceptional divisor. By Proposition (3.1), \tilde{X} is a Fano manifold with a contraction $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ whose restriction to E is the map associated on E to the linear system $|\xi_{N_{\Lambda/X}^*}(1)| = |\xi_{\Omega(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)}|$, i.e., the blow-up of $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ along a sub-Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$ as shown in Example (2.3.3). By Proposition (3.1) we also have that the extremal ray associated with φ is generated by the class $[\ell]$ of the strict transform of a line $l \subset X$ meeting Λ at one point and has length 2. Let \mathcal{H} be the pull-back $\sigma^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. We can take $K_{\tilde{X}} + 2\mathcal{H}$ as a supporting divisor for φ .

Since $E \cdot \ell = 1$, we have that E meets every non-trivial fiber of φ .

As $\varphi|_E$ is equidimensional with one-dimensional fibers, it follows that φ cannot have fibers of dimension greater than two, otherwise their intersection with E would be a fiber of dimension at least two of $\varphi|_E$. Therefore every non-trivial fiber of φ has dimension at most two.

We claim that φ is of fiber type. Assume by contradiction that φ is birational. Then it is equidimensional by Proposition (2.2.4). We can apply [3, Theorem 4.1] to get that Y is smooth and φ is the blow-up of a smooth codimension-three center T . Since E meets every non-trivial fiber of φ we have $T = \mathbb{G}(1, s)$.

So Y contains $\varphi(E) = \mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ as an effective divisor, but, since $\rho_Y = 1$, this implies that $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$ is ample in Y ; it thus follows by [17, Corollary 1.3 and Proposition 2.1] that $s = 2$.

Therefore Y is a projective space or a smooth hyperquadric and $T \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Using the two different blow-up structures of \tilde{X} we can write

$$4\mathcal{H} - 2E = -K_{\tilde{X}} = -\varphi^* K_Y - 2\text{Exc}(\varphi).$$

Therefore the index of Y is even, so $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^5$; but the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^5 along \mathbb{P}^2 has one fiber type contraction, so also this case cannot happen.

It follows that φ is of fiber type. Since E meets every fiber of φ we have $Y = \mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$. The restriction of φ to E is birational, hence the general fiber of φ has dimension one. As already noticed, any fiber of φ has dimension at most two, hence φ is a special Bănică scroll, so $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_* \mathcal{H}$.

Combining the canonical bundle formula for \tilde{X} as a blow-up with the canonical bundle formula for \tilde{X} as a Bănică scroll we get

$$-s(\mathcal{H} - E) = K_{\tilde{X}} + 2\mathcal{H} = \varphi^*(K_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)} + \det \mathcal{E}) = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)}(-s - 2 + \deg \det \mathcal{E}),$$

hence $\det \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)}(2)$.

Denote by Λ_0 the section of $\sigma: E \rightarrow \Lambda$ which corresponds to the surjection $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}$; the restriction of $\xi_{N_{\Lambda/X}^*}(1)$ to Λ_0 is $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_0}(1)$, hence Λ_0 is mapped to a linear subspace Λ_1 of $\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)$.

Let l be any line in Λ_1 ; the line in Λ_0 mapped to l is a section corresponding to a surjection $\mathcal{E}|_l \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_l(1)$; hence $\mathcal{E}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_l(1)^{\oplus 2}$. By [14, Théorème] the restriction of \mathcal{E} to Λ_1 is decomposable: $\mathcal{E}|_{\Lambda_1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_1}(1)^{\oplus 2}$.

So $(\mathbb{P}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{E}|_{\Lambda_1}), \mathcal{H}) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s}(1, 1))$, and $\Sigma := \sigma(\mathbb{P}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{E}|_{\Lambda_1}))$ is a subvariety such that $(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s}(1, 1))$ which contains Λ as a fiber of the first projection.

To prove the last assertion note that Σ is the base locus of the linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{O}_X(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_\Lambda|$ given by the pull-back of the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1, s+1)}(1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda_1}|$. \square

Now we will determine the normal bundle in X of the subvariety Σ constructed in the previous proposition.

Proposition 6.1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of Picard number one and dimension $2s+1$ containing a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_\Lambda(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$. Let $\Sigma \subset X$ be as in Proposition (6.1.1).*

Then $N_{\Sigma/X} \simeq p_1^ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes p_2^* T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$, where p_1 and p_2 denote the projections of $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s$ onto the factors.*

Proof. By Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index $s+2$ covered by lines. Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Σ , and denote by $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Sigma/X}^*)$ the exceptional divisor. By Proposition (3.1), E is a Fano manifold. By adjunction

$$\det N_{\Sigma/X} = K_\Sigma - (K_X)|_\Sigma = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(s, 1)$$

Let $p: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^s$ be the composition of the bundle projection with p_2 ; the fiber F_x of p over a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^s$ is $\mathbb{P}_{l_x}((N_{\Sigma/X}^*)|_{l_x})$ where l_x is the fiber of p_2 over x .

By adjunction F_x is a Fano manifold, hence, recalling that $c_1((N_{\Sigma/X}^*)|_{l_x}) = -s$, we have that $(N_{\Sigma/X}^*)|_{l_x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{l_x}(-1)^{\oplus s}$.

So $N_{\Sigma/X} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ is trivial on the fibers of p_2 , hence $N_{\Sigma/X} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) = p_2^*(\mathcal{F})$, with \mathcal{F} a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^s . In particular

$$(N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda \simeq (N_{\Sigma/X} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))|_\Lambda \simeq (p_2^*(\mathcal{F}))|_\Lambda = \mathcal{F}.$$

From the the exact sequence of normal bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \longrightarrow T_\Lambda(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \longrightarrow (N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda \longrightarrow 0,$$

it follows that $(N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda$ is nef; recalling that $c_1((N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda) = 1$ we have that $(N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda$ is uniform so, either $(N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda$ is decomposable or $(N_{\Sigma/X})|_\Lambda \simeq T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. The first case is not possible, since the sequence would split. Therefore $\mathcal{F} = T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$ and $N_{\Sigma/X} \simeq p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes p_2^* T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$. \square

Now we prove that the existence of a subvariety Σ as above completely determines the manifold X .

Proposition 6.1.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of Picard number one and dimension $2s+1$ containing a subvariety Σ such that $\Sigma = \langle \Sigma \rangle \cap X$ and $(\Sigma, (\mathcal{O}_X(1))|_\Sigma) \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^s}(1, 1))$, with $N_{\Sigma/X} \simeq p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \otimes p_2^* T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1)$.*

Let $\sigma: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along Σ . Then \tilde{X} is a divisor in the linear system $|2\xi - \varphi^ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1)|$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1) \oplus \mathcal{Q}^{\oplus 2})$, where ξ denotes the tautological line bundle and φ the bundle projection.*

Proof. By Lemma (3.2) X is a Fano manifold of index $s+2$ covered by lines. Denote by $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{\Sigma/X}^*)$ the exceptional divisor; by Proposition (3.1), \tilde{X} and E are Fano manifolds. Moreover, the ray associated with the extremal contraction $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ different from σ has length three and its restriction to E is the map associated on E to the linear system $|\xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^*}(1)| = |\xi_{p_2^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^s}(2)}|$ described in Example (2.3.2). Denote by \mathcal{H} the pull-back $\sigma^* \mathcal{O}_X(1)$; we can take $K_{\tilde{X}} + 3\mathcal{H}$ as a supporting divisor for φ . Since $E \cdot \ell = 1$ we have that E meets every non-trivial fiber of φ . As $\varphi|_E$ is equidimensional with two-dimensional fibers, it follows that φ cannot have fibers of dimension greater than three, otherwise their intersection with E would be a fiber of dimension at least three of $\varphi|_E$. Therefore every non-trivial fiber of φ has dimension at most three.

We claim that φ is of fiber type. Assume by contradiction that φ is birational; then by Proposition (2.2.4) it is equidimensional. We can apply [3, Theorem 4.1] to get that Y is smooth and φ is the blow-up of Y along a smooth center. Since $E \cdot \ell = 1$ the intersection of E with a fiber of φ is a \mathbb{P}^2 , contradicting the fact that fibers of $\varphi|_E$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

It follows that φ is of fiber type. Since E meets every fiber of φ we have $Y = \mathbb{G}(1, s)$. The contraction φ is supported by $K_{\tilde{X}} + 3\mathcal{H}$, it is elementary and equidimensional with three-dimensional fibers, so it is a quadric bundle.

Let $\mathcal{E} := \varphi_* \mathcal{H}$; \tilde{X} embeds in $P = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(\mathcal{E})$ as a divisor of relative degree 2.

Let $\mathcal{E}' := \varphi_*(\mathcal{H}|_E)$; notice that, as shown in Example (2.3.2), $\mathcal{E}' \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{\oplus 2}$.

The vector bundle \mathcal{E} has \mathcal{E}' as a quotient. Indeed, if $x \in \mathbb{G}(1, s)$ is a point and we denote by F and f the fibers of φ and $\varphi|_E$ over x , we have that $\mathcal{E}'_x = H^0(\mathcal{H}|_f)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{E}_x = H^0(\mathcal{H}|_F)$.

It follows that there exists an exact sequence on $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(a) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \oplus \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Call P' the projectivization of \mathcal{E}' ; since $\tilde{X}|_{P'} = E$ we have, by Example (2.3.2), that

$$\tilde{X} = 2\mathcal{H} - \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1).$$

Combining the canonical bundle formula for P ,

$$K_P + 5\mathcal{H} = \varphi^*(K_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)} + \det \mathcal{E}),$$

with the blow-up formula giving the canonical bundle of \tilde{X}

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = -(s+2)\mathcal{H} + (s-1)E$$

and the adjunction formula

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = (K_P + \tilde{X})|_{\tilde{X}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -(s+2)\mathcal{H} + (s-1)E &= -5\mathcal{H} + \varphi^*(K_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)} + \det \mathcal{E}) + 2\mathcal{H} - \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1) \\ &= -3\mathcal{H} + \varphi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(-s-2 + \deg \det \mathcal{E}) \\ &= -3\mathcal{H} + (-s-2 + \deg \det \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{H} - E) \\ &= (-s-5 + \deg \det \mathcal{E})\mathcal{H} + (s+2 + \deg \det \mathcal{E})E. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\deg \det \mathcal{E} = 3$, therefore $a = 1$; since $h^1(\mathcal{Q}^*(1)^{\oplus 2}) = h^1(\mathcal{Q}^{\oplus 2}) = 0$, the above sequence splits and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{G}(1,s)}(1) \oplus \mathcal{Q}^{\oplus 2}$. \square

Corollary 6.1.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of Picard number one and dimension $2s+1$ containing a linear subspace $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{P}^s$ whose normal bundle is $T_{\mathbb{P}^s}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}$; then X is a hyperplane section of the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+2)$.*

Proof. By Propositions (6.1.1), (6.1.2), (6.1.3), there is only one manifold which contains a linear subspace as in the statement. A smooth hyperplane section $\mathbb{G}(1, s+2) \cap H$ of the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s+2)$ contains such a linear space - just take the intersection of H with a linear space corresponding to lines passing through a fixed point - so the statement follows. \square

6.2. Decomposable normal bundle.

Proposition 6.2.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $n \geq 4$ containing a linear space Λ of dimension s with $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq s \leq n-2$. Assume that the normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is trivial. Then the Picard number of X is at least two.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\rho_X = 1$; by Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index $r_X = s+1$ covered by lines. By the first part of Proposition (3.1) the blow-up of X along Λ , which we will denote by \tilde{X} , is a Fano manifold with $\rho_{\tilde{X}} = 2$, whose “other” contraction φ is given by the linear system $|\mathcal{H} - E|$, where $\mathcal{H} := \sigma^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. The restriction of $\mathcal{H} - E$ to $E = \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^{n-s-1}$ is $\xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^*(1)} = \mathcal{O}_E(1, 1)$. In particular no curves of E are contracted by φ .

The extremal ray associated with φ is generated by the class $[\ell]$ of the strict transform of a line meeting Λ at one point, hence $E \cdot \ell = 1$. Since E has positive intersection number with curves contracted by φ , it follows that every non-trivial fiber of φ has dimension one. By Proposition (3.1) the extremal ray associated with φ has length $r_X - n + s + 1 = 2s - n + 2$, hence, by Proposition (2.2.4), every non-trivial fiber has dimension at least $2s - n + 1$. It follows that $s = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

If n is even, then the extremal ray associated with φ has length 2, hence φ is of fiber type and its general fiber is \mathbb{P}^1 . The line bundle E restricts to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ on a general fiber of φ , so we can apply [18, Lemma 2.12] and obtain that φ makes X

into a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over Y .

The divisor E is a regular section of this \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, hence $E \simeq Y$, contradicting the fact that $\rho_Y = 1$.

If n is odd, then the extremal ray associated with φ has length 1.

If φ is of fiber type, then it is a conic bundle; by [2, Theorem B] Y is smooth. The smooth variety Y has Picard number one and it is dominated by the toric variety $E = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$, hence, by [26, Theorem 1], Y is a projective space \mathbb{P}^{2s} . Since $n \geq 4$ we have $2s \geq 3$, hence ρ_E must be one by [23, Corollary 3.2], a contradiction.

If φ is birational, then Y is smooth and φ is the blow-up of a smooth codimension two subvariety. The divisor $D = (s+2)(\mathcal{H} - E) = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(s+2)$ is nef and big on \tilde{X} . Therefore $h^1(\mathcal{H} - 2E) = h^1(K_{\tilde{X}} + D) = 0$; hence the morphism

$$H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H} - E) \longrightarrow H^0(E, (\mathcal{H} - E)|_E) = H^0(E, \xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^*(1)})$$

is surjective, so the restriction to E of φ is the morphism given by the linear system $|\xi_{N_{\Sigma/X}^*(1)}| = |\mathcal{O}_E(1, 1)|$. In particular the image of E via φ is a smooth divisor isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$. Since $\rho_Y = 1$ this is impossible, by [30, Proposition IV]. \square

Remark 6.2.2. If $n = 3$, then, by Lemma (3.2), X is a Fano manifold of index 2 covered by lines, hence a del Pezzo manifold. By the classification in [18, Theorem 8.11], a del Pezzo threefold of Picard number one with very ample fundamental divisor is a cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 , the complete intersection of two hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^5 or a linear section of $\mathbb{G}(1, 4) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ with three general hyperplanes.

Proposition (6.2.1) allows us to prove that, if the normal bundle of Λ is decomposable, the Picard number of X is one and $s \geq 2$, then X is a linear space.

Corollary 6.2.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension n and Picard number one containing a linear space Λ of dimension $s \geq 2$ with $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq s \leq n-2$. Assume that the normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}(1)^{\oplus c} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda}^{\oplus(n-s-c)}$. Then $c = n-s$ and X is a linear space.*

Proof. By Proposition (6.2.1) we can assume that $c > 0$, so, by Lemma (4.3) we can find a smooth hyperplane section X' of X containing Λ . Then, as in [16, Theorem 2], we apply [34, Corollary I.2.20], which yields that X' is a linear space, so we conclude that X is a linear space, too. \square

7. MANIFOLDS WITH PICARD NUMBER GREATER THAN ONE

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety, let V be an unsplit dominating family of rational curves for X and let $q: X \dashrightarrow Y$ be the $\text{rc}(V)$ -fibration. Let B be the indeterminacy locus of q ; notice that $\dim B \leq \dim X - 2$, as X is smooth. Moreover, by [10, Proposition 1] B is the union of all $\text{rc}(V)$ -equivalence classes of dimension greater than $\dim X - \dim Y$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let V be an unsplit dominating family of rational curves on a smooth projective variety X . Let B be the indeterminacy locus of the $\text{rc}(V)$ -fibration $q: X \dashrightarrow Y$, let D be a very ample divisor on $q(X \setminus B)$ and let $\hat{D} := \overline{q^{-1}D}$. Then*

$$(a) \quad \hat{D} \cdot V = 0;$$

- (b) if $C \not\subset B$ is a curve whose numerical class is not proportional to $[V]$, then $\widehat{D} \cdot C > 0$;
- (c) if $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$, then there exists a curve $C \subset B$ whose class is not proportional to $[V]$ such that $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$.

Proof. A general cycle of V is contained in a fiber of q disjoint from \widehat{D} , so $\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$. If C is as in (b), then $q(C)$ is a curve in Y and the result follows from projection formula.

Finally, if $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray, then either \widehat{D} is not nef or \widehat{D} is nef but $\widehat{D}^\perp \cap \text{NE}(X) \supsetneq [V]$. In both cases there exists a curve $C \subset X$ whose class is not proportional to $[V]$ such that $\widehat{D} \cdot C \leq 0$. Such a curve must be contained in B by [10, Proof of Proposition 1]. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let X be a manifold which admits an unsplit dominating family of rational curves V . Assume that there exists an extremal face $\Sigma \subseteq \overline{\text{NE}(X)}_{K_X < 0}$ such that $[V] \in \Sigma$.*

Then, either $[V]$ spans an extremal ray, or there exists an extremal ray in Σ whose exceptional locus is contained in the indeterminacy locus B of the $\text{rc}(V)$ -fibration. In particular, this ray is associated with a small contraction.

Proof. Let τ be a minimal subface of Σ containing $[V]$. If $\dim \tau = 1$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray.

Assume that $\dim \tau \geq 2$. Let \widehat{D} be as in Lemma (7.1). Since $\widehat{D} \cdot V = 0$, then either \widehat{D} is zero on every extremal ray of σ or it is negative on at least one ray. In both cases, by part (b) of Lemma (7.1) there is at least one ray whose exceptional locus is contained in B , and the assertion follows as $\dim B \leq \dim X - 2$. \square

The following is a slight improvement of [9, Theorem 2.5] (cf. also [7, Theorem 2.4], where the case $-K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$ is treated):

Theorem 7.3. *Let (X, H) be a polarized n -fold with a dominating family of rational curves V such that $H \cdot V = 1$. If $-K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then $[V]$ spans an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$.*

Proof. Denote by m the positive integer $-K_X \cdot V$ and by L the adjoint divisor $K_X + mH$.

Assume first that L is nef.

Denote by $q: X \dashrightarrow Y$ the $\text{rc}(V)$ -fibration and by B its indeterminacy locus. Assume that $[V]$ does not span an extremal ray in $\text{NE}(X)$. This implies that L defines an extremal face Σ of dimension at least two, containing $[V]$.

By Lemma (7.2) there exists an extremal ray $R \in \Sigma$ whose associated contraction φ is small; moreover, since $L \cdot R = 0$ the length of this extremal ray is greater than or equal to m .

If F is a non-trivial fiber of φ , by Proposition (2.2.4), we have $\dim F \geq m + 1$.

Let x be a point in F ; $\text{Locus}(V_x)$ meets F , but, since $[V]$ is independent from R , the intersection has to be zero-dimensional. This implies that

$$\dim \text{Locus}(V_x) \leq n - m - 1 \leq \frac{n-3}{2},$$

contradicting part (b) of Proposition (2.2.3).

Assume now that L is not nef.

This assumption yields the existence of an extremal ray R such that $L \cdot R < 0$. Notice that R has length $\geq m + 1$, hence every non-trivial fiber of the associated contraction has dimension $\geq m$ by Proposition (2.2.4).

We have, by Lemma (2.2.6),

$$\dim X \geq \dim \text{Locus}(V)_F \geq -K_X \cdot V + \dim F - 1 \geq m + m - 1 \geq n,$$

hence $\text{Locus}(V)_F = X$. We can apply the second part of Lemma (2.2.6) to get $\text{NE}(X) = \langle [V], R \rangle$ and we are done. \square

Remark 7.4. Combining the ideas and techniques of [9] with those of [10] it is actually possible to prove the statement of Theorem (7.3) under the weaker assumption that $-K_X \cdot V \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$; however the proof becomes very long and complicated, so, since it is not necessary for our main theorem, we will present it elsewhere ([24]).

Theorem 7.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety of dimension $2s + 1$ and Picard number greater than one, containing a linear subspace Λ of dimension s , whose normal bundle $N_{\Lambda/X}$ is nef. Then there is an elementary contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ which contracts Λ . Moreover one of the following occurs:*

- φ is a scroll;
- Y is a curve, and the general fiber of φ is
 - the Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$;
 - a smooth hyperquadric \mathbb{Q}^{2s} ;
 - a product of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition (4.1), there is a component T of the Hilbert scheme of linear spaces of dimension s in X containing the point corresponding to Λ such that through any point of X there is a linear space of dimension s parametrized by T .

Therefore, denoted by l a general line in Λ there is a dominating family of lines in X containing l . By adjunction we have $-K_X \cdot l \geq s + 1$, hence, by Theorem (7.3), the numerical class of l spans an extremal ray of X .

Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction of this extremal ray and let F be a general fiber of φ ; F has dimension at most $2s$ and, by adjunction, is a Fano manifold of index $\geq s + 1$, hence either $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$ or $\rho_F = 1$ by [31, Theorem B].

Assume that $\rho_F = 1$; since F is covered by linear spaces of dimension s , then, by Corollary (5.2), F is a projective space, a hyperquadric of dimension $2s$ or a Grassmannian of lines $\mathbb{G}(1, s)$. \square

Remark 7.6. If φ is a scroll and $\dim F \geq s + 1$, then X has a projective bundle structure over Y by [13, Theorem 1.7]. By [8, Conjecture 14.1.10] this should be the case also if $\dim F = s$.

Example 7.7. We show with an example that the last case of Theorem (7.5) is effective; the idea on which it is based has been suggested by Wiśniewski for [25, Example 7.2].

Let C' be a smooth curve with a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -action, so that the action induces an étale covering $\pi: C' \rightarrow C$ of degree 2. Let G be $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$ and take on G the \mathbb{Z}_2 -action which exchanges the factors.

Let $X' = G \times C'$ and let X be the quotient of X' by the product action of \mathbb{Z}_2 ; the

action is free and so X is smooth. By the universal property of group actions there exists a morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C' \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & C \end{array}$$

The map $\varphi: X \rightarrow C$ is an extremal contraction and every fiber is a product of projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s$. We will now show that φ is elementary.

Let l be a line in G and consider the product $l \times C' \subset G \times C' = X'$: it is a flat family of rational curves. Let c be a point of C and let $\{c'_1, c'_2\}$ be $\pi^{-1}(c)$; finally set $l'_i = l \times \{c'_i\}$ and consider the restriction of the previous diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \{c'_1, c'_2\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{c'_1, c'_2\} \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \varphi^{-1}(c) \simeq \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^s & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & c \end{array}$$

Since the product action identifies $G \times \{c'_1\}$ with $G \times \{c'_2\}$ exchanging the factors we have that $l_1 = \pi(l'_1)$ is a line in a fiber of the projection of $\varphi^{-1}(c)$ onto the first factor and $l_2 = \pi(l'_2)$ is a line in a fiber of the projection of $\varphi^{-1}(c)$ onto the second factor, hence lines in the two factors are algebraically and thus numerically equivalent.

Remark 7.8. In the last example, X has an unsplit dominating family of rational curves V such that V_x has dimension $\frac{\dim X - 3}{2}$ and is reducible for every x . This should be compared with [20, Theorem 5.1], in which it is proved that, if $\dim V_x \geq \frac{\dim X - 1}{2}$, then V_x is irreducible.

Acknowledgements. The results about extremality of families of lines in [9] were brought to our consideration by a stimulating series of lectures given by Paltin Ionescu. We thank him also for drawing our attention to the paper [29].

We thank Jarosław Wiśniewski who gave us a suggestion for the proof of the second part of Proposition (4.1) and Andrea Luigi Tironi, for his careful reading of a preliminary version of the paper and for his remarks which allowed us to remove a non-effective case from the statement of Theorem (1.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] Marco Andreatta, Edoardo Ballico, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Projective manifolds containing large linear subspaces*, Classification of irregular varieties (Trento, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1515, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 1–11.
- [2] ———, *Two theorems on elementary contractions*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), no. 2, 191–198.
- [3] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *A note on nonvanishing and applications*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 3, 739–755.
- [4] ———, *On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 209–217.
- [5] Edoardo Ballico, *Uniform vector bundles of rank $(n+1)$ on \mathbf{P}_n* , Tsukuba J. Math. **7** (1983), no. 2, 215–226.
- [6] Edoardo Ballico and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On Bănică sheaves and Fano manifolds*, Compos. Math. **102** (1996), 313–335.
- [7] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Paltin Ionescu, *On manifolds swept out by high dimensional quadrics*, Math. Z., to appear.

- [8] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Andrew J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 16, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [9] Mauro C. Beltrametti, Andrew J. Sommese, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *Results on varieties with many lines and their applications to adjunction theory*, Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 16–38.
- [10] Laurent Bonavero, Cinzia Casagrande, and Stéphane Druel, *On covering and quasi-unsplit families of rational curves*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007), no. 1, 45–57.
- [11] Frédéric Campana, *Coréduction algébrique d'un espace analytique faiblement kählerien compact*, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), no. 2, 187–223.
- [12] Elena Chierici and Gianluca Occhetta, *The cone of curves of Fano varieties of coindex four*, Internat. J. Math. **17** (2006), no. 10, 1195–1221.
- [13] Lawrence Ein, *Varieties with small dual varieties. II*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), no. 4, 895–907.
- [14] Georges Elencwajg, André Hirschowitz, and Michael Schneider, *Les fibres uniformes de rang au plus n sur $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathbf{C})$ sont ceux qu'on croit*, Vector bundles and differential equations (Proc. Conf., Nice, 1979), Progr. Math., vol. 7, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980, pp. 37–63.
- [15] Philippe Ellia, *Sur les fibrés uniformes de rang $(n + 1)$ sur \mathbf{P}^n* , Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) **7** (1982), 60.
- [16] Baohua Fu, *Inductive characterization of hyperquadrics*, Preprint Math AG/0705.2927, May 2007.
- [17] Takao Fujita, *Impossibility criterion of being an ample divisor*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), no. 2, 355–363.
- [18] ———, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 167–178.
- [19] Paltin Ionescu, *Generalized adjunction and applications*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **99** (1986), no. 3, 457–472.
- [20] Stefan Kebekus and Sándor J. Kovács, *Are rational curves determined by tangent vectors?*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 1, 53–79.
- [21] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
- [22] János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [23] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Some applications of the theory of positive vector bundles*, Complete intersections (Acireale, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1092, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 29–61.
- [24] Carla Novelli and Gianluca Occhetta, *Extremality of families of lines*, In preparation.
- [25] Gianluca Occhetta and Davide Panizzolo, *Fano-Mori elementary contractions with reducible general fiber*, Kodai Math. J. **28** (2005), 559–576.
- [26] Gianluca Occhetta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On Euler-Jaczewski sequence and Remmert-van de Ven problem for toric varieties*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), no. 1, 35–44.
- [27] Valentina Paterno, *Scoppiamenti di Grassmanniane e varietà di Fano*, October 2006, Graduate Thesis, Università di Trento.
- [28] Miles Reid, *The intersection of two quadrics*, PhD thesis, Cambridge University, 1972. www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/miles/3folds/qu.ps.
- [29] Eiichi Sato, *Projective manifolds swept out by large-dimensional linear spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **49** (1997), no. 3, 299–321.
- [30] Andrew J. Sommese, *On manifolds that cannot be ample divisors*, Math. Ann. **221** (1976), no. 1, 55–72.
- [31] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On a conjecture of Mukai*, Manuscripta Math. **68** (1990), no. 2, 135–141.
- [32] ———, *On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 141–157.
- [33] ———, *On deformation of nef values*, Duke Math. J. **64** (1991), no. 2, 325–332.
- [34] Fyodor L. Zak, *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 127, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993, Translated from the Russian manuscript by the author.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA,
UNIVERSITÀ DI GENOVA,
VIA DODECANESO 35,
I-16146 GENOVA

Current address: Dipartimento di Matematica “F.Casorati”,
Università di Pavia,
via Ferrata 1,
I-27100 Pavia

E-mail address: `novelli@dima.unige.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA,
UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO,
VIA SOMMARIVE 14,
I-38050 Povo (TN)

E-mail address: `gianluca.occhetta@unitn.it`